Online Machine Learning

Simone Filice filice.simone@gmail.com

University of Roma Tor Vergata

Web Mining e Retrieval 2013/2014

Motivations

- Common ML algorithms simultaneously exploit a whole dataset. This process, referred as batch learning, is not practical when:

 - The dataset is too large to be efficiently exploited: memory and computational problems!
 - The concept we need to learn changes over the time: batch learning provide a static solution that will surely degrade as time goes by

Online Machine Learning

- Incremental Learning Paradigm:
 - Every time a new example is available, the learned hypothesis is updated
- Inherent Appealing Characteristics:
 - The model does not need to be re-generated from scratch when new data is available
 - Capability of tracking a Shifting Concept
 - Faster training process if compared to batch learners (e.g. SVM)

Perceptron

Perceptron is a simple discriminative classifier

- Instances are feature vectors $x' \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with label $y \in [-1, +1]$
- Classification function is an hyperplane in \mathbb{R}^d : $f(x') = w' \cdot x' + b$



Compact notation: $\boldsymbol{w} = \{b, w'_1, w'_2, ..., w'_d\}, \boldsymbol{x} = \{1, x'_1, x'_2, ..., x'_d\}$

Batch Perceptron

- IDEA : adjust the hyperplane until no training errors are done (input data must be linearly separable)
- Batch perceptron learning procedure:

```
Start with w_1 = 0
do
       errors=false
       For all t=1...T
           Receive a new sample x_t
           Compute y = w_t \cdot x_t
           if y \cdot y_t < \beta_t then w_{t+1} = \gamma_t w_t + \alpha_t y_t x_t with \alpha_t > 0
               errors=true
            else
                   w_{t+1} = w_t
while(errors)
return w_{T+1}
```

Online Learning Perceptron

□ IDEA : adjust the hyperplane after each classification (w_t = weight vector at time t) and never stop learning

Online perceptron learning procedure:

```
Start with w_1 = 0

For all t=1...

Receive a new sample x_t

Compute y = w_t \cdot x_t

Receive a feedback y_t

if y \cdot y_t < \beta_t then w_{t+1} = \gamma_t w_t + \alpha_t y_t x_t with \alpha_t > 0

else w_{t+1} = w_t

endfor
```

Shifting Perceptron

IDEA: weak dependance from the past in order to obtain a tracking ability

□ Shifting Perceptron learning procedure (Cavallanti et al 2006): Start with $w_1 = 0$, k=0 For all t=1... Receive a new sample x_t Compute $y = sign(w_t \cdot x_t)$ Receive a feedback y_t if $y \neq y_t$ then $\lambda_k = \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+k}$ with $\lambda > 0$ $w_{t+1} = (1 - \lambda_k)w_t + \lambda_k y_t x_t$ k=k+1else $w_{t+1} = w_t$ endfor

Online Linear Passive Aggressive (1/3)

- □ IDEA: Every time a new example $\langle X_t, Y_t \rangle$ is available the current classification function is modified as less as possible to correctly classify the new example
- Passive Aggressive learning procedure (Crammer et al 2006): Start with $w_1 = 0$, k=0 For all t=1... Receive a new sample x_t Compute $y = sign(w_t \cdot x_t)$ Receive a feedback y_t Measure a classification loss (divergence between y_t and y) Modify the model to get zero loss, preserving what was learned from previous examples

Online Linear Passive Aggressive (2/3)

Loss measure:

Hinge loss: $l(w; (x_t, y_t)) = max(0; 1 - y_t(w \cdot x_t))$

Model variation:

 $\|\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} - \boldsymbol{w}_t\|^2$

□ Passive Aggressive Optimization Problem: $w_{t+1} = argmin_w \frac{1}{2} ||w - w_t||^2$ such that $l(w; (x_t, y_t)) = 0$

Closed form solution:

$$w_{t+1} = w_t + \tau_t y_t x_t$$
 where $\tau_t = \frac{l(w_t;(x_t,y_t))}{\|x_t\|^2}$

Online Linear Passive Aggressive (3/3)

The previous formulation is a hard margin version that has a problem:

- a single outlier could produce a high hyperplane shifting, making the model forget the previous learning
- Soft version solution:
 - control the algorithm aggressiveness through a parameter C

PA-I formulation:

$$w_{t+1} = argmin_{w}\frac{1}{2}||w - w_{t}||^{2} + C\xi \text{ s.t. } l(w; (x_{t}, y_{t})) \leq \xi \text{ with } \xi \geq 0$$

$$\implies w_{t+1} = w_{t} + \tau_{t}y_{t}x_{t} \text{ where } \tau_{t} = \min\left\{C; \frac{l(w_{t}; (x_{t}, y_{t}))}{||x_{t}||^{2}}\right\}$$

PA-II model:

$$w_{t+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{w} \frac{1}{2} \|w - w_t\|^2 + C\xi^2 \text{ s.t. } l(w; (x_t, y_t)) \le \xi \text{ with } \xi \ge 0$$
$$\implies w_{t+1} = w_t + \tau_t y_t x_t \text{ where } \tau_t = \frac{l(w_t; (x_t, y_t))}{\|x_t\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}C}$$

Data Separability

- Training data could not be separable
- Possible solutions:
 - \square Use a more complex classification function \rightarrow Risk of overfitting!
 - Define a new set of feature that makes the problem linearly separable



Project the current examples in a space in which they are separable...

Kernel Methods

Training data can be projected in a space in which they are more easily separable



- Kernel Trick: any kernel function K performs the dot product in the kernel space without explicitly project the input vectors in that space
- Structured data (tree, graph, high order tensor...) can be exploited

Kernelized Passive Aggressive

 In kernelized Online Learning algorithms a new support vector is added every time a misclassification occurs

LINEAR VERSION	KERNELIZED VERSION
Classification function	
$f_t(\boldsymbol{x}) = \boldsymbol{w}_t^T \boldsymbol{x}$	$f_t(x) = \sum_{i \in S} \alpha_i k(x, x_i)$
Optimization Problem (PA-I)	
$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = argmin_{\boldsymbol{w}}\frac{1}{2}\ \boldsymbol{w} - \boldsymbol{w}_t\ ^2 + C\xi$	$f_{t+1}(x) = \operatorname{argmin}_{f\frac{1}{2}} \ f(x) - f_t(x)\ ^2_{\mathcal{H}} + C\xi$
Such that $1 - y_t f_t(x_t) \le \xi, \xi \ge 0$	Such that $1 - y_t f_t(x_t) \le \xi, \xi \ge 0$
Closed form solution	
$\boldsymbol{w}_{t+1} = \boldsymbol{w}_t + \tau_t y_t \boldsymbol{x}_t$	$f_{t+1}(x) = f_t(x) + \alpha_t k(x, x_t)$
where $\tau_t = \min \left\{ C; \frac{\max(0, 1 - y_t f_t(x_t))}{\ x_t\ ^2} \right\}$	where $\alpha_t = y_t \cdot \min\left\{C; \frac{\max(0, 1-y_t f_t(x_t))}{\ x_t\ _{\mathcal{H}}^2}\right\}$

Linear Vs Kernel Based Learning

LINEAR VERSION	KERNELIZED VERSION
Classification function	
explicit hyperlplane in the original space Only linear functions can be learnt	implicit hyperplane in the RKHS © Non linear functions can be learnt
Example form	
8 Only feature vectors can be exploited	Structured representations can be exploited
Computational complexity	
A classification is a single dot product	A classification involves S kernel computations
Memory usage	
Only a the explicit hyperplane must be stored	All the support vectors and their weights must be stored

Learning on a Budget

- In kernelized online learning algorithm the set of support vectors can grow without limits
- Possible solution: Limit the number of support vector, defining a budget B
- □ This solution has the following advantages:
 - The memory occupation is upperbounded by B support vectors
 - Each classification needs at most B kernel computations
 - In shifting concept tasks, budget algorithms can outperform nonbudget counterparts because they are faster in adapting

Limit the number of Support Vectors

- In order to respect the budget B, different policies can be formulated:
 - Stop learning when budget is exceeded: Stoptron
 - Delete a random support vector: Randomized Perceptron
 - Delete the more redundant support vector: Fixed Budget Conscious Perceptron
 - Delete the oldest support vector: Least recent Budget Perceptron and Forgetron
 - Modify the Support Vectors weights in order to adapt the classification hypothesis to the new sample: Projectron
 - Online Passive-Aggressive on a Budget



- <u>Baseline</u> of the online learning on a budget algorithms: Fix a budget B and stop learning when the number of support vectors is equal to B
- □ Stoptron algorithm (Orabona et al 2008):

```
Start with S = \emptyset

For all t=1...

Receive a new sample x_t

Compute y = \sum_{i \in S} \alpha_i y_i K(x_i, x_t)

Receive a feedback y_t

if yy_t < \beta and |S| < B then

S = S \cup \{t\}

\alpha_t = 1

endif
```

endfor

Randomized Perceptron

- Simplest deleting policy: when the budget B is exceeded remove a random support vector
- Randomized Perceptron algorithm (Cavallanti et al 2007):

```
Start with S = \emptyset
For all t=1...
        Receive a new sample x_t
        Compute y = \sum_{i \in S} \alpha_i y_i K(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_t)
        Receive a feedback y_t
        if yy_t < \beta
              if |S| = B
                     select randomly s \in S, S = S \setminus \{s\}
              endif
              S = S \cup \{t\} \quad \alpha_t = 1
        endif
endfor
```

Forgetron

- Deleting policy: Every time a new support vector is added, the weights of the others are reduced. Thus SVs lose weight with aging and removing the older SV should assure a minimum impact to the classification function.
- Forgetron algorithm (Dekel et al 2008):

```
Start with S = \emptyset

For all t=1...

Receive a new sample x_t

Compute y = \sum_{i \in S} \alpha_i y_i K(x_i, x_t)

Receive a feedback y_t

if yy_t < \beta

if |S| = B

S=S \setminus min\{S\} //the oldest Support vector is removed

endif

S = S \cup \{t\} \ \alpha_t = 1, \ \alpha_i = \phi_t \alpha_i \ \forall i \in S \setminus \{t\} //adding a new Sv and shrinking

endif
```

Summary

- Online learning methods can:
 - Incrementally learn from new samples
 - Dinamically adapt to problem variations
 - Reduce the computational cost of building a new model
- Online learning methods can be used with kernels but they suffer from the "curse of kernelization":
 - The number of support vectors can grow without bounds
- Several number of budgeted solutions have been proposed