# The Protégé Ontoling Plugin: Linguistic Enrichment of Ontologies in the Semantic Web

Maria Teresa Pazienza and Armando Stellato

AI Research Group, Dept. of Computer Science, Systems and Production University of Rome, Tor Vergata Via del Politecnico 1, 00133 Rome, Italy {pazienza,stellato}@info.uniroma2.it

### Abstract

This paper presents our approach in establishing a framework for semi-automatic linguistic enrichment of ontologies. This effort has been pursued through the definition and implementation of a set of general purpose API for accessing a wide variety of linguistic resources and through the development of Ontoling, a plug-in for the popular ontology development tool Protégé, which allows for browsing of different linguistic resources and for using their information to enrich the formal content of ontologies. We describe here the features and design aspects which characterize its current release

#### 1 Introduction

Knowledge Sharing is a crucial issue in the Semantic Web: SW services expose and share knowledge content which arise from distinct languages, locales, and personal perspectives; a great effort has been spent in these years, in the form of Knowledge Representation standards and communication protocols, with the objective of acquiring semantic consensus across distributed applications. However, neither ontology mapping algorithm nor knowledge mediator agent can easily find a way through ontologies as they are organized nowadays: concepts expressed by hardly recognizable labels, lexical ambiguity represented by phenomena like synonymy and polysemy and use of different natural languages which derive from different



cultures, all together push for expressing ontological content in a linguistically motivated fashion. In this paper we introduce our work in establishing a framework for semiautomatic linguistic enrichment of ontologies, which has run through the identification of different categories of linguistic resources and in planning their exploitation to augment the linguistic expressivity of ontologies. This effort has lead to the realization of the *Linguistic Watermark*, a series of API for characterizing diverse Linguistic Resources and for providing uniform access to their content, and to the development of Ontoling, a plugin for the popular ontology editing tool Protégé (Gennari *et al.*, 2003) which exploits this content in order to linguistically enrich Semantic Web ontologies.

### 2 The Linguistic Watermark

We introduced the notion of Linguistic Watermark, as the series of characteristics and functionalities which distinguish a particular resource inside our framework. As we can observe from the Class Diagram in Fig. 1, we sketched a sort of ontology of linguistic resources (LRs), with the addition of operational aspects. LRs are in fact structured and described in terms of their features and how their lexical information is organized; the ontology has then been completed with query methods for accessing resource's content. We thus implemented this operational ontology as a java package on its own, providing API which can externally be imported by any application willing to exploit natural language resources like lexicons and terminologies. The core of the package is composed of an Abstract Class, named LinguisticInterface, which is both the locus for a formal description of a given linguistic resource and a service-provider for exposing the resource specific methods. The other abstract classes and interfaces in the package, which can be implemented or not, depending on the profile of the resource being wrapped, provide instead the signatures for known interface methods.

We have currently developed several implementations of the Linguistic Watermark. Two of them, the Wordnet Interface (Fellbaum, 1998) and the DICT (Dict site) In-



Fig. 1. Ontoling Architecture

terface for Bilingual Dictionaries, being related to freely available LRs, have been made publicly available on the Ontoling site:

http://ai-nlp.info.uniroma2.it/software/OntoLing

## 3 The Protégé Ontoling Plugin

Ontoling exploits the API provided by the Linguistic Watermark (following the architecture which is depicted in Fig. 2), allowing for linguistic enrichment of ontologies inside the Protégé working environment. This objective is achieved through a series of functionalities for browsing the loaded LR:

- Search term definitions (glosses)
- Ask for synonyms
- Separate different sense of the same term
- Explore genus and differentia (where available)
- Explore resource-specific semantic relations

as well as some others for ontology editing:

- Add synonyms (or translations, for bilingual resources) as additional labels for identifying concepts
- Add glosses to concepts description (documentation)
- Use notions from linguistic resources to create new concepts (or whole new ontology taxonomy branches)

These functionalities go beyond their original intent and can be helpful for Ontologists and Knowledge Engineers in creating new ontologies or in improving/modifying existing ones. Ontoling currently supports both Protégé original model, relying on a dedicated meta-ontology for representing synonyms, translations and glosses, and the OWL Model, which offers as it is support for multiple languages and comments in the form of *rdf:labels* and *rdf:comments* meta properties.

### 4 Future Work

Ongoing and future work is pursuing the direction of further automatizing this process, in order to reduce human effort to a fully supervised methodology for linguistic enrichment. To this end, adaptive algorithms need to take into account the different characteristics which may be exposed through the Linguistic Watermark and provide an harmonizing view over the linguistic enrichment process.

#### References

[Fellbaum, 1998] C. Fellbaum.: WordNet - An electronic lexical database. MIT Press, 1998.

[Gennari et al., 2003] J. Gennari, M. Musen, R. Fergerson, W. Grosso, M. Crubézy, H. Eriksson, N. Noy, and S. Tu. The evolution of Protégé-2000: An environment for knowledge-based systems development. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 58(1):89–123, 2003.

[Dict site] www.dict.org/bin/Dict